

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/707,118	KNICKERBOCKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Mis	2817

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) David Mis.
 (2) Jack Friedman.

(3) Mark Friedman.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2005

Time: 9:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

An examiners' amendment to prevent a rejection in view of Goldberg was proposed.

Claims discussed:

1 and 11 - the "programmable damping resistor" language.

Prior art documents discussed:

Godberg was identified as a potential reference for a potential rejection.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiners' amendment: change "programmable damping" to --crystal substitution-- proposed by phone on 6/6 and accepted by phone on 6/7. Additional changes to the specification were agreed to on 6/7. The Examiners' Amendment agreed to is attached.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)